

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/612,374	07/01/2003	Ki Rin Sung	DE-1489	3709	
7590 03/31/2006			EXAMINER .		
David A. Einhom, Esq.			HAWK, NOAH CHANDLER		
Anderson Kill & Olick, P.C. 1251 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10020			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3637		

DATE MAILED: 03/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/612,374	SUNG, KI RIN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Noah C. Hawk	3637	

	Noah C. Hawk	3637			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 March 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, affitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecalise		
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in bet 		duaina ar aimplifuina	the issues for		
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially re-	aucing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1:		cotoa ciairrio.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amondment	(DTOL 224)		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inpliant Amendment	(F 1 OL-324).		
		Airean II. Airean ann an Airean			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	•	•	_		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		i be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t before or on the date of filing a Ne	otice of Appeal will no	at he entered		
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a		
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)			
13. Other:					
	amamai				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	LANNA MAI				
	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINI	ER			
	TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600	-			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: New Claim 6 adds additional limitations that would require further consideration and search. Claim 8 would now be indefiite for depending on a cancelled claim 7.